

Application Serial No. 10/551,276
Reply to Office Action of April 2, 2008

PATENT
Docket: CU-6541

REMARKS

In the Office Action, dated April 2, 2008, the Examiner states that Claims 1-9 are pending, Claim 5 is rejected, Claims 6-9 are objected to and Claims 1-4 are allowed. By the present Amendment, Applicant amends the claims.

1. Objection to the Abstract

The abstract is objected to because it is not limited to a single paragraph. The abstract has been amended to contain only one paragraph. As such Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of this objection.

2. Objection to Claims 6-9

Claims 6-9 are objected to because the Office Action considers that the phrase "wherein the substrate for a liquid crystal display according to claim 1" is incomplete. Claims 6-9 have been amended solely in the interest of clarity. As such, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection to Claims 6-9.

3. Rejection of Claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Miyazaki et al. (US 5,978,061) for the reasons of record. Solely for the interest of advancing prosecution and without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter thereof, Applicant has cancelled Claim 5. As such, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

In light of the foregoing response, all the outstanding objections and rejections are considered overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that this application should now be in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

June 27, 2008

Date



Attorney for Applicant
Brian W. Hameder
c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300
Reg. No. 45613